The following Committee members were in attendance: Meet Director Steve Filios

| LEAGUE | REPRESENTATIVE | LEAGUE | REPRESENTATIVE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BVAL-MHAL | Steve NeIson - Mt. <br> Pleasant | PSAL | Tyler Martin - TKA |
| BVAL-STAL | Chung Khong - Y. Buena | SCCAL | Mark McConnell - Soquel |
| BVAL-WVAL | Carlos Robledo - Lincoln | SCVAL-DAL | Julia Widstrand - Los Altos |
| MBL | Tim McCarthy - Alisal | SCVAL-ECAL | Julie L'Heureux - S. Clara |
| MTAL | Mike Raggett - S. Catalina | TCAL | Roger Chagnon - Salinas |
| PAL-Bay | Bill Daskarolis - Aragon | WBAL | ABSENT |
| PAL-Ocean | Ron DiMaggio - Westmoor | WCAL | Jim Marheineke - Serra |
|  | \# Members on Committee: <br> \# Members Present at Meeting: <br> \# needed for a Quorum (2/3): | Report Respectfully Submitted by: <br> 13 <br> 9 |  |

GUESTS: Tomas Light - Pacific Grove; Al Hernandez - Aragon; Jeff Myers - Mitty; Richard Ponce - Yerba Buena; Hector Flores - Silver Creek

## I. TOURNAMENT GUIDE CHANGES--UPDATES FOR NEXT YEAR

This section contains non-major format changes. The CCS Board of Managers will review and, by its acceptance of this report, approve these housekeeping changes. If, at $1^{\text {st }}$-reading, the Board deems any of these as "Major" changes, it may pull them out and send them back to Leagues for further discussion and review. The item will then be returned to the CCS Board at its next meeting for a $2^{\text {nd }}$ reading and final action.

## SECTION 1. SCHEDULED MEETINGS

A. Organizational: MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 2005, @ CCS office, 7:00 PM
B. Evaluation: TUESDAY, JUNE 07, 2005, @ CCS office, 4:00 PM

## SECTION 2. MEET DATES

The 2005 CCS Track \& Field Championships are scheduled as follows:
Semi-Finals: SATURDAY, MAY 21, 2005
Field events - 9:30 AM; Running events - 11:00 AM
Finals:
FRIDAY, MAY 27, 2005
Field events - 4:00 PM; Running events - 6:00 PM

## SECTION 3. QUALIFYING FROM LEAGUE MEETS TO SEMI-FINAL MEET

 F. LEAGUE RESULTS3. League entries, from League Qualifying Meets, are to be submitted electronically, via e-mail ("Meet Manager" or in "Excel" format), to the CCS office and the Results Director. Specific e-mail address information will be disseminated in the CCS Participant Information Bulletin.

SECTION 8. APPEALS
A. HARDSHIP APPEALS:

1. Only in effect Hardships may only be considered for qualification from League to the Semi-Finals;
2. Hardship only applies to an illness/accident that is non-sports related.
3. The athlete must be under a medical doctor's care;
4. Any request for hardship must be presented to the League Meet Director, IN WRITING, before the start of the athlete's first race in the League Meet;
5. The athlete must have posted a previous mark that is better than the last qualifier's mark;
6. The hardship hearing will be held on the second working day following the request for hardship;
7. The Appeals Committee will consist of the following: the Section Meet Director, the CCS Events Coordinator, and the CCS Commissioner Appeals shall be conducted according to the respective League Bylaws;
8. A run-off, for races restricted by lanes, will be held two [2] days before the next scheduled meet. The site will be determined by the Appeals Committee League.

## SECTION 9. MEET OFFICIALS - Section Semi-Finals and Finals

A. League responsibilities for providing Field Event judges and other Meet personnel are as follows:

## Event

LONG JUMP
HIGH JUMP
DISCUS
POLE VAULT
SHOT PUT
TRIPLE JUMP
Turn Judges/Inspectors

> Hurdles

## ALL LEAGUES SHALL PROVIDE THEIR OWN CREWS AND EQUIPMENT NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES.

## B. Any Leaque not providing personnel 30' prior to the start of its assigned event, shall be fined \$100.

## II. RECOMMENDATIONS

This section includes general recommendations to the CCS Board of Managers or staff that are not Tournament format related. Recommendations are just that, implemented or not at the discretion of the staff or the CCS Board. Acceptance of this Sport Committee Report does no more than acknowledge these recommendations. These are not action items. If a recommendation is to be approved, and/or the Board wants to direct staff to institute a particular recommendation, the CCS Board must pull out and vote upon that specific recommendation.

## A. Review of Section Meet

1. Many thanks to Steve Filios and Mark McConnell for a very well run Meet!
2. Reminder to Reps that coaches working field events may not coach their athletes while on the field.
3. Problems occurred again with Leagues not fulfilling their event responsibilities (i.e., BVAL). The Committee recommends that the BOM authorize fining Leagues or schools whose personnel do not show up to their assigned events; either $\$ 50.00$ or $\$ 100.00$, if not present by 30 minutes prior to the event's start time. The Committee does not feel that Leagues should lose an entrant as a possible penalty.

## II. RECOMMENDATIONS

(continued)
A. Review of Section Meet (continued)
4. The Committee unanimously agreed to follow the State Meet format in all events, excluding the pole vault (the girls precede the boys).
5. It was requested to have the pole vault covers moved in the Semi-Finals as they are in the Finals.
6. It was requested to have a 28 -foot line painted for the girls triple jump. The Meet Director informed the Committee that tape only may be used at the facility.
7. Event personnel need to be trained on how to use the scoreboards.
B. State Meet Issues

1. Although paramedics are present, trainers are not. This is problematic for coaches. They would like a trainer available, not just a person handing out ice bags.
2. Spectator tickets were only available on the north side of the venue. People had to walk all the way around the site.
3. FYI - The Meet is at the same site next year.

## III. MISCELLANEOUS

This section contains congratulations to Section winners and Honor Coaches or other items the Committee wishes to have recorded in its annual report. Acceptance by the Board of this Sport Committee Report simply acknowledges the items in this section. These are not action items.
A. Congratulations to the 2004 CCS TRACK \& FIELD Team Champions:

| $1^{\text {ST }}$ | SOYS | SIRLS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\text {ND }}$ | Lynta Clara HS | Archbishop Mitty HS |
| $3^{\text {RD }}$ | St. Francis HS | Los Altos HS |
| $4^{\text {TH }}$ | Archbishop Riordan HS | Carlmont HS |
|  | Valley Christian-SJ HS |  |

B. Recorded votes on discussed proposals:

- Vote: 9 yes / 4 no / 0 abstentions >> the Track \& Field Committee needed to propose a change in the entries structure of the By-laws.
- Vote: 5 yes / 8 no / 0 abstentions >> the initial WCAL proposal that would have increased the At-Large times to the top 12 instead on the top eight (8) times.
- Vote : 0 yes / 13 no / 0 abstentions >> the BVAL proposal to re-format the Track entrants by utilizing time standards averaged over the past three (3) years, in addition to structuring "A," "B" and "C" Leagues with five (5), three (3) and one (1) automatic entrants respectively. The Committee discussed the BVAL proposal vs. the WCAL proposal at great length (see IV. below) and utlimately decided the WCAL proposal was better.
C. The Committee discussed the Hardship Rule, Section 8.2 above, and was asked by staff to offer their opinion:
- 4 of the 12 Reps present voted in favor of having all Leagues follow the above rule consistently.
- 8 of the 12 Reps voted to allow Leagues to determine their own hardship cases.


## IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES

This section contains any proposal that the staff has determined to be a major change in the core format of the CCS Play-offs in this sport. As such, any item listed below will be reviewed as a $1^{\text {st }}$-reading at the CCS Board of Managers meeting following the Sport Committee meeting. The Board will return the item(s) to the Leagues for review and discussion; the item(s) will be agendized at the next Board meeting for final discussion and action.

## A. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL: (WCAL Proposal)

Adjust the At-Large provision in qualifying from Leagues to take the top 12 marks instead of the top eight (8) marks.

## IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES (continued)

B. RATIONALE AND/OR PURPOSE OF PROPOSAL:

To allow inclusion for those athletes from smaller Leagues or very strong Leagues, to compete as At-Large entries.
Note: This year there were 10 At-Large entrants into the Semi-finals:
Boys 1600 - 1; Boys 3200 - 1; Boys High Jump - 2; Boys Pole Vault - 1;
Girls 1600 - 1; Girls 800 - 2; Girls High Jump - 2.
These athletes came mainly from the WCAL, SCVAL and SCCAL, with the two high jumpers from the PSAL. Of the 10, two (2) athletes earned $5^{\text {th }}$ place medals: Girls 1600 and Boys Pole Vault.

It is not known how many athletes would be affected next year.
C. CHANGES IN CURRENT BYLAW (TOURNAMENT GUIDE) LANGUAGE:
(new language is italicized and underlined - old language is struck through)
Section 3. QUALIFYING FROM LEAGUE MEETS TO SEMI-FINAL MEET E. AT-LARGE ENTRIES

1. If an athlete does not qualify for the CCS Semi-Finals at his/her League Championship Meet, but his/her mark ranks as one of the top eight [8] 12 performances from the League Varsity Championship Meets combined, then that athlete will be added to the CCS Semi-Final competition. This provision is for all events, including relays.
D. Proposal presented to Committee by: Jim Marheineke - WCAL
E. Committee vote on proposal: 12 ayes - 1 nay - 0 abstentions

## ALL SPORT COMMITTEES MUST REVIEW THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WHENEVER THEY WISH TO PROPOSE MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES TO THE CCS BOARD OF MANAGERS!

1. Is the proposal consistent with policies/bylaws/procedures or general rules within the CCS? IF NO:
a. Is your sport so unique in this area that it warrants a deviation from these section-wide policies/bylaws/procedures or general rules? (if so, include in your rationale above)
b. Might the proposed change affect other sports' playoff formats? (if so, include in your rationale above)
c. Should the proposed change be a specific change for only your sport or a proposed change in the policy/bylaws/procedures or general rules within the CCS?
no 2. Does the necessity for this change warrant the increase in expenses (if applicable)?
$\checkmark \quad$ 3. Will the CCS staff be able to accommodate the change (i.e. sites, personnel, time, etc.)
$\sqrt{ }$ 4. Does the proposal make a better tournament experience for ALL teams in CCS in this sport?
2. If this sport is conducted for the opposite gender, is the proposed change consistent for both CCS Playoffs (i.e. boys and girls tennis; boys and girls volleyball, etc.)?

## REVIEWIACTION TAKEN BY OTHER CCS ENTITIES

ADAC:
Next meeting
9/28/04
RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE $\qquad$
(non-major changes will be approved by this action)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL
RECOMMEND DISAPPROVAL
RECOMMEND AMENDING (AS STATED BELOW)
RECOMMEND FURTHER STUDY/MORE INFORMATION BEFORE ACTION $\qquad$
NO RECOMMENDATION $\qquad$
LEAGUE COMM:
Next meeting
8/26/04
RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE $\qquad$
(non-major changes will be approved by this action)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL $\qquad$
RECOMMEND DISAPPROVAL
RECOMMEND AMENDING (AS STATED BELOW)
RECOMMEND FURTHER STUDY/MORE INFORMATION BEFORE ACTION $\qquad$
NO RECOMMENDATION $\qquad$
EXEC. COMM:
Next meeting
10/7/04
RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE $\qquad$
(non-major changes will be approved by this action)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL $\qquad$
RECOMMEND DISAPPROVAL
RECOMMEND AMENDING (AS STATED BELOW)
RECOMMEND FURTHER STUDY/MORE INFORMATION BEFORE ACTION $\qquad$
NO RECOMMENDATION $\qquad$
CCS STAFF:
RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE__x
(non-major changes will be approved by this action)
RECOMMEND DISAPPROVAL_x__of increasing At-large entrants, Section 3.E.
RECOMMEND AMENDING SECTION 9.B. to read:
Any League not providing officials as assigned at least 30' prior to that event shall lose one (1) entry the following year.

COMMENTS: It is anticipated that this report would be accepted by the CCS Board of Managers at the October 21, 2004 meeting. Acceptance by the Board will approve all the Tournament Guide changes contained in this report.

