REPORT OF THE CCS CROSS COUNTRY COMMITTEE

<u>LEAGUE</u>	REPRESENTATIVE	LEAGUE	REPRESENTATIVE
BVAL-MHAL	Scott Young – Santa Teresa	PAL-Ocean	Cliff Lentz-El Camino
BVAL-STAL	Jacque Page - Leigh	PSAL	Robert Lopez-Nueva
BVAL-WVAL	absent	SCCAL	absent
PCAL-Gabilan	Roger Chagnon-Salinas	SCVAL-DAL	Stephanie MacKenzie-Los Altos
PCAL-Mission	Whit Rambach-Carmel	SCVAL-ECAL	Paul Armstrong - Cupertino
PCAL-Cypress	absent	WBAL	Albert Caruana – Crystal Springs Uplands
PAL-Bay	John Lilygren-Carlmont	WCAL	Patrick McCrystle - Bellarmine
# Members on C # Members Pres	omm <mark>ittee: 14</mark> ent a <mark>t Meeting: 1</mark> 1		ully su <mark>bmitted b</mark> y: CS R <mark>epresentative</mark>

GUESTS & STAFF PRESENT: Dave Grissom, CCS Commissioner; Hank Lawson, Friend of CCS Cross Country; Jim Marheineke – CCS Assistant Meet Director; Chris Lucey, Hillsdale HS.

I. TOURNAMENT GUIDE CHANGES--UPDATES FOR NEXT YEAR

This section contains non-major format changes. The CCS Board of Managers will review and, by its acceptance of this report, approve these housekeeping changes. If, at 1st-reading, the Board deems any of these as "Major" changes, it may pull them out and send them back to Leagues for further discussion and review. The item will then be returned to the CCS Board at its next meeting for a 2nd reading and final action.

Section 1. SCHEDULED MEETINGS

Organization: AUGUST 10, 2022 7:00 PM

(date subject to change to insure meeting is prior to all league organizational meetings)

Evaluation: TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2022, 7:00 PM

Section 2. MEETS DATES / SITES

A. The 2022 CCS Boys and Girls Cross Country Championships are scheduled as follows: **SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2022 @ TBD**

<u>Division</u>		<u>Time</u>		<u>Time</u>	
Division IV	Girls	10:00 am	Boys	10:35 am	
Division V	Girls	11:10 am	Boys	11:45 am	
Division I	Girls	12:20 pm	Boys	12:55 pm	
Division II	Girls	1:30 pm	Boys	2:05 pm	
Division III	Girls	2:40 pm	Boys	3:15 pm	

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

This section includes general recommendations to the CCS Board of Managers or staff that are not Tournament format related. Recommendations are just that, implemented or not at the discretion of the staff or the CCS Board. Acceptance of this Sport Committee Report does no more than acknowledge these recommendations. These are not action items. If a recommendation is to be approved, and/or the Board wants to direct staff to institute a particular recommendation, the CCS Board must pull out and vote upon that specific recommendation.

A. Review of Section Meet

- 1. Thank you to:
 - Meet Management Team including, but not limited to:, Hank Lawson and Jim Marheineke, Results & Timing Coordinator Sean Laughlin; Entry Coordinator Mark McConnell, Clerks Mike Peterson and Ken Wilner, Starters Mark Greenough and Cori Evans.
 - USATF Officials: Meet Referees Jim Manha and J.R Herbele, Head Finish Judge Mike Bower.
 - San Mateo Community College District

- The Medical Team: Matt Ryan, Carolyn Walker and Tim Vialogo
- Meet Announcer: Peter Jensen and assistant June Jensen
- Awards Coordinators and Announcer: Terry Ward, Tom Tuite and Mike Flynn
- Junipero Serra High School students workers and Parent Community

III. MISCELLANEOUS

This section contains congratulations to Section winners and Honor Coaches or other items the Committee wishes to have recorded in its annual report. Acceptance by the Board of this Sport Committee Report simply acknowledges the items in this section. These are not action items.

A. Congratulations to the 2019 CCS Cross Country Champions:

Team	Boys	Girls
Division 1	Palo Alto	Los Gatos
Division 2	Woodside	St. Francis
Division 3	Santa Cruz	Aptos
Division 4	Scotts Valley	Scotts Valley
Division 5	Crystal Spring Uplands	The Nueva School
Individual	Boys	Girls
Division 1	Aidan Dimick Carlmont	Elizabeth Eetter Pale Alto

Division 1 Aidan Dimick, Carlmont Elizabeth Fetter, Palo Alto
Division 2 Tommy Rogers, Junipero Serra Bella Peretti, Pioneer
Division 3 Harrison Dance, Saratoga Myla Brignardello, Capuchino
Division 4 Jeremy Kain, Scotts Valley
Division 5 Michael Julian, York Samira Kennedy, Castilleja

B. Congratulations to the 2019 CIF State Cross Country Top 10 placers:

Teams:

D1 girls: Los Altos (6th)
D2 girls: St. Francis (4th)

D4 boys: Scotts Valley (3rd); Menlo School (7th) D5 Boys: Crystal Springs Uplands (3rd); Nueva (7th)

D5 Girls: Castilleja (4th); Nueva (9th)

Individuals:

D1 boys: Aidan Dimick, Carlmont (8th)
D1 girls: Elizabeth Fetter, Palo Alto (6th)
D2 boys: Tommy Rogers, Junipero Serra (4th)
D4 boys: Ryder Brabo, Scotts Valley (9th)
D4 girls: Ashlyn Boothby, Scotta Valley (3rd)

D5 boys: Michael Julian, York (5th)

D5 girls: Samira Kennedy, Castilleja (2nd) and Kayla Brooks, Crystal Springs Uplands (5th);

C. Division Placement: Division placement chart for 2022 is currently to be determined (TBD) due to a proposed change in division placement process (see below) and which will be determined by the CCS Board of Managers in April. Final division placement chart will be posted on the CCS website.

IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES

This section contains any proposal that the staff has determined to be a major change in the core format of the CCS Play-offs in this sport. As such, any item listed below will be reviewed as a 1st-reading at the CCS Board of Managers meeting following the Sport Committee meeting. The Board will return the item(s) to the Leagues for review and discussion; the item(s) will be agendized at the next Board meeting for final discussion and action.

PROPOSAL #1: DIVISION PLACEMENT BY PERCENTAGE: The PAL is proposing that the enrollment cap for Division V be changed from 500 to 600 and that the remaining schools be divided into Division I through IV based on a percentage that would, based on history, more equally distribute the number of qualifying teams into those four divisions. MSC (11-0)

Section 3. DIVISION PLACEMENT

The previous year's grade 9-12 CBEDS figures, as listed below, will be used to adjust the size of each division insuring that each division, except Division V, has the same number of schools. Division V will be set at 500 600 and below. The remaining schools shall be allocated into Divisions in the following manner:

<u>Division I: top 15%</u> <u>Division II: next 25%</u> <u>Division III: next 25%</u> Division IV: remaining 35%

- If the number of schools was not cleanly divided by the percentages, the "extra" school(s) would be placed beginning in the higher division
- If more than one school has the same enrollment at the "point of division," all schools with that enrollment, would be placed in the higher of the two divisions.
- If the number of schools was not a multiple of four, the "extra" school(s) would be placed beginning in the higher divisions.
- When determining the divisions, the CCS staff will utilize a list of enrollments without school names attached to insure objectivity.

Rationale: A review of CCS Cross Country issue shows that the number of teams entered in each division under the current bylaws has resulted in a significant imbalance in the number of teams in each division race. In addition, the low number of qualfying teams in some divisions has put those divisions in jeopardy of losing an automatic qualifier into the CIF State XC Championships. (Full proposal and data are attached)

PROPOSAL #2: INSURE THAT BOTH BOYS AND GIRLS TEAMS FROM A SCHOOL ARE PLACED IN THE SAME DIVISION: The CCS Cross Country Committee is proposing that when dividing schools into divisions, the division placement is gender agnostic. MSC (11-0)

Section 3. DIVISION PLACEMENT

The previous year's grade 9-12 CBEDS figures, as listed below, will be used to adjust the size of each division

 When determining the divisions, the CCS staff will utilize a list of <u>enrollments that is gender</u> <u>agnostic and</u> without school names attached to insure objectivity.

Rationale: Under the current process, in determining division placement, girls and boys division placement were determined separately. In doing so, several schools might have their boys and girls teams placed in different divisions. By using enrollment figures without regard to gender, division placement in the same division of the boys and girls teams from the same school would be assured.

	REVIEW / ACTION TAKEN BY OTHER CCS ENTITIES
ADAC:	RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF REPORT (non-major changes will be approved by this action by the BOM) PROPOSAL #1: PROPOSAL #2:
LEAGUE COMM:	RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF REPORT (non-major changes will be approved by this action by the BOM) PROPOSAL #1: PROPOSAL #2:
EXEC. COMM:	RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF REPORT (non-major changes will be approved by this action by the BOM) PROPOSAL #1: PROPOSAL #2:
CCS STAFF:	RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF REPORTX _ (non-major changes will be approved by this action by the BOM) PROPOSAL #1: Recommend approval PROPOSAL #2: Recommend approval

COMMENTS:

I. TOURNAMENT GUIDE CHANGES—UPDATES FOR NEXT YEAR

Report acceptance anticipated by the CCS Board of Managers on January 26, 2022. (this makes all tournament guide changes in this report final)

IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES

Proposals #1 & #2 will be presented as a first read item at the CCS Board of Managers on January 26, 2022, Action anticipated by CCS Board of Managers on March 30, 2022.

Proposal #1: Proposal #2:

Bringing Equity to the CCS Cross Country Championships

Proposal for Division Alignment in Cross Country

Current Imbalance

- The current distribution of teams does not provide equitable and representative competitive fields across the various Divisions at the CCS Cross Country Championship meet
- An equitable allocation of teams in the Divisions would:
 - Generate a balanced distribution of schools in each Division race
 - Provide similar student body representation across the Divisions

Current Imbalance Numbers in the Races

On average 65 to 66 schools have typically qualified for the CCS
 Cross Country championships over the past 11 competitive seasons.
 That would make 13 schools per Division the target

The average number per Division for the past 11 competitions:

D-I: 17.4 girls 17.3 boys
D-II: 14.7 girls 14.4 boys
D-III: 11.5 girls 11.3 boys
D-IV: 12.5 girls 13.5 boys
D-V: 8.6 girls 9.5 boys

Red indicates 3 or more away from the average # of teams target per Division

Unbalanced Distribution Across Races (3 years)

Division	# Boys 2021	# Boys 2019	# Boys 2018	#Girls 2021	#Girls 2019	# Girls 2018
I	19	17	16	15	18	16
Ш	14	15	15	16	16	17
III	13	9	10	8	11	11
IV	12	17	14	8	13	13
V	8	9	13	9	6	10
Total	66	67	68	56	64	67
Ave	13.2	13.4	13.6	11.2	12.8	13.4
Std Dev	3.96	4.10	2.30	3.96	4.66	3.05
Range	11	8	6	8	12	7

Red indicates 3 or more away from the average # of teams in a Division Boys 7/15 and Girls 10/15 over past three years

Current Imbalance in Representation

 From an equity perspective, ideally each Division's allocation of teams would represent relatively equal numbers of students

Division	Number of Schools (Boys)	Current Total Student Population Represented
I	25	61,443
II	25	44,019
III	25	32,887
IV	25	18,455
V	31	8,064
Std Dev		2.1x10+4
Average		32,974
Range		53,379

Using 2020-2021 Boys CBED enrollment #s

Factors Creating the Imbalance

- Current method does not account for schools that do not participate or field complete teams
 - 15 boy teams (11%) in 2021: 1 D-II, 3 D-IV, 11 D-V
 - 37 girl teams (27%) in 2021: 2 D-II, 8 D-III, 6 D-IV, 21 D-V
- Equal number of schools in D-I to D-IV skews the student population representation towards the first two divisions

The impact:

- The larger schools race with disproportionately larger fields, and
- The smallest schools race with a much smaller race size

Addressing the Imbalance

To create a more equitable distribution at the Championship and make the 'by Division' representation more equitable, the following updates to the CCS Division assignment process are proposed:

- Update D-V to be composed of 600 and smaller enrollment schools
 - Expands the range of schools available to qualify in that division by 9 schools (39-42 schools)
- Update D-I to be the top 15% of remaining schools by size (~14 teams)
- Spread the remaining 85% of schools across D-II to D-IV as follows:

D2: 25% (~23 teams)

D3: 25% (~23 teams)

D4: 35% (~31-32 teams)

Note: How teams qualify for the CCS meet does not change

Actual Historical Race Sizes Compared to Proposed Allocation

			20	018										
	2018	actual	w/pr	oposal		2019	actual	2019 v	v/proposal		2021 ad	ctual	2021 w/p	roposal
	# of schools	# of qualifiers	# of schools	# of qualifiers		# of schools	# of qualifiers	# of schools	# of qualifiers		# of schools	# of qualifiers	# of schools	# of qualifiers
		Boy	/S				E	Boys			Boys			
D1	24	16	15	12	D1	24	17	15	12	D1	25	19	15	13
D2	24	15	23	12	D2	24	15	23	13	D2	25	14	23	14
D3	24	10	23	12	D3	24	9	23	13	D3	25	13	23	13
D4	23	14	31	17	D4	26	17	32	15	D4	25	12	30	12
D5	26	13	29	15	D5	30	9	35	14	D5	31	8	40	14
totals	121	68	121	68	totals	128	67	128	67	totals	131	66	131	66
		Gir	ls				(Girls				Gi	rls	
D1	24	16	15	10	D1	25	18	15	12	D1	26	15	15	11
D2	24	17	23	14	D2	24	16	23	16	D2	25	16	23	13
D3	24	11	23	13	D3	24	11	23	12	D3	25	9	23	10
D4	24	13	32	17	D4	26	13	32	16	D4	25	10	31	13
D5	29	10	32	12	D5	33	6	39	8	D5	34	9	43	12
404-1-	125	67	125	66	ha haila	127	64	127	64	totals	125	50	125	59
totals	125	67	125	66	totals	132	64	132	64	totals	135	59	135	

Yellow highlight indicates 3 or more away from the goal number of teams in a division (total qualifiers/5)

More Equitable Representation by Division

Division	Number of Schools (Boys)	Current Total Student Population Represented
1	14	38,051
II	23	45,663
III	23	36,256
IV	30	31,772
V	40	13,126
Std Dev		1.2×10+4
Average		32,974
Range		32,537

Benefits of Proposal

- Balances out competitive fields at the Championship meet
 - Field sizes become relatively equal across the Divisions
 - Average team times stay the same or slightly improve in 7 of 10 Divisions
- Makes student representation more equitable by Division
 - Range of Divisions' representation size cut in half
 - Distribution of Division sizes is much closer

Section 3 Proposed Change Language

DIVISION PLACEMENT

The previous year's grade 9-12 CBEDS figures, as listed below, will be used to adjust the size of each division. Division V will be set at 600 and below. The remaining schools shall be allocated into Divisions in the following manner:

D-I: top 15%

D-II: next 25%

D-III: next 25%

D-IV: remaining 35%

- If the number of schools was not cleanly divided by the percentages, the "extra" school(s) would be placed beginning in the higher divisions
- If more than one school has the same enrollment at the "point of division," all schools with that enrollment would be placed in the higher of the two divisions.
- When determining the divisions, the CCS staff will utilize a list of enrollments without school names attached to insure objectivity.

CENTRAL COAST SECTION CROSS COUNTRY DIVISION PLACEMENT FOR 2022 UNDER PROPOSALS 1 and 2

Enrol.	rol. School Division 1		Enrol. School Division 3 (Continued)		School Division 5	
3423	San Benito (Hollister)	1634	Valley Christian	585	Oceana	
3336	Bellarmine*	1609	No. Monterey Co.	582	Design Tech	
3232	Homestead	1580	Overfelt	578	Menlo	
3081	Milpitas	1560	Pajaro Valley	574	Pacific Grove	
3049	Alisal	1544	Sobrato	560	Downtown College Prep	
2897	Evergreen Valley	1542	Prospect	541	The King's Academy	
2833	Independence	1523	Pioneer	530	KIPP San Jose Collegiate	
2690	Salinas	1498	Soledad	520	Stevenson School	
2423	Silver Creek	1492	Burlingame	512	Monte Vista Christian	
2389	Watsonville	1480	St. Ignatius	497	Alpha Cindy Avitia	
2326	Mountain View	1415	Aptos	477	University Prep Academy	
2304	Carlmont	1396	Greenfield	476	Castilleja*	
2239	Santa Teresa	1364	Monterey	461	Shasta - Summit Public Schools	
2229	Menlo-Atherton	1364	Notre Dame-SJ *	460	Santa Catalina *	
2206	North Salinas	1361	Del Mar	451	Nueva School	
2200	North Gainas	1301	Dei Mai	450	Cristo Rey San Jose	
	Division 2		Division 4	431	Summit Prep	
2179	Fremont	1349	Sacred Heart Cath.	412	Ace Charter	
2179	Los Altos	1320	South San Francisco	372	Tahoma-Summit Public School	
2141	Los Gatos	1296	Westmoor	367	Pacific Collegiate	
2129	Everett Alvarez	1279	Mt. Pleasant	356	Crystal Springs Uplands	
2110	Cupertino	1256	Presentation *	348	Notre Dame-Salinas *	
2040	Palo Alto	1250	Jefferson	342	East Palo Alto Academy	
1978	Piedmont Hills	1235	Saratoga	313	Anzar	
1951	Sequoia	1233	King City	303	Luis Valdez Leader. Acad	
1950	Branham	1212	El Camino	300	Downtown CP - Alum Rock	
1930	Wilcox	1170	Mills	285	CEIBA	
1898	Gunn	1162	Santa Cruz	278	Priory School	
1892	Santa Clara	1162	Seaside	260	Eastside College Prep	
1830	Monta Vista	1160	Live Oak	221	St. Francis SCP	
1822	Andrew Hill	1118	Capuchino	218	Pinewood	
1812	Leigh	1087	Soquel	205	Kehillah Jewish	
1785	Aragon	1037	Harbor	168	The York School	
1761	Woodside	1009	Half Moon Bay	152	Oakwood	
1759	Lynbrook	982	Archbishop Riordan	138	Kirby, Georgiana Bruce	
1754	Archbishop Mitty	969	Gunderson	137	Mid Peninsula	
1745	St. Francis	969	San Jose High	120	San Francisco Christ.	
1743	Yerba Buena	884	James Lick	104	Pacific Bay Christian	
1742	San Mateo	857	Carmel	83	Pescadero	
1724	Oak Grove	847	Gonzales	68	More, Thomas	
1723	Lincoln	830	Notre Dame-Belmont *	63	Trinity Christian	
1120	2.1100111	807	Harker	60	Mountain View Acad.	
	Division 3	792	San Lorenzo Valley	51	Pacific Point Christian	
1722	Junipero Serra*	764	Mercy-Burlingame *	49	Mt. Madonna	
1698	Gilroy	748	Terra Nova	70		
1686	Christopher	734	Scotts Valley			
1674	Leland	678	Marina			
1672	Westmont	677	Latino College Prep			
1667	Rancho San Juan	642	Sacred Heart Prep			
1649	Hillsdale	640	Palma *			
1641	Willow Glen	040				
1041	TIMOW CIOII					

^{*} Single-gender schools – enrollment doubled